

United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System
Fifth reporting cycle, 2014-2015 leg

Report from Sweden as developed country Party



August 28, 2014

Contents

I. Performance indicators

A. Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Indicator CONS-O-1

Indicator CONS-O-3

Indicator CONS-O-4

B. Operational objective 2: Policy framework

Indicator CONS-O-6

Indicator CONS-O-7

C. Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Indicator CONS-O-8

D. Operational objective 4: Capacity-building

Indicator CONS-O-13

E. Operational objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Indicator CONS-O-14

II. Financial flows

Unified Financial Annex

III. Additional information

IV. Submission

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of desertification, land degradation and drought (DLDD) and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies

Global target

Percentage of population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

30 %

2018

National contribution to the global target

Percentage of national population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

0

2011

2013

2015

2017

2019

Information events

Year	Number of events	Total estimated participants
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	0	0
2013	0	0
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Mass media articles and radio/television programmes about these events

Year	Number	Estimated people reached
2012	0	0
2013	0	0
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

Qualitative assessment

Does your country have a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity in place?

Yes

No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., regarding the implementation of the Comprehensive Communication Strategy, activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification, etc.):

Sweden does not consider Governments to be the appropriate stakeholder to monitor media products on DLDD from the major media stakeholders.

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-3

Number of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) participating in the Convention processes

Global target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

National contribution to global target: number of CSOs and STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects

Year	Number of civil society organizations	Number of science and technology institutions
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	0	1
2013	0	1
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information: name of the CSOs and STIs

Sweden is contributing to the Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI) research on DLDD and ELD.
For organisations supported bilaterally, please see Annex from Sida.

Qualitative assessment

Is your country undertaking initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects? Yes No

Provide a short description of actions taken at the international level to promote participation by CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes or any other complementary information.

Please See Annex II on Research activities for specific information on Swedish contributions to STIs.

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-4

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education

Global target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy

National contribution to the global target: number of DLDD-related initiatives implemented by CSOs and STIs in the field of education

Year	Number of CSOs initiatives	Number of STIs initiatives
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	0	1
2013	0	1
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

ITP in West Africa, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (see Annex from Sida)

Qualitative assessment

Is your country undertaking initiatives to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector implemented by CSOs and STIs at development assistance level? Yes No

Provide a short description of actions taken at development assistance level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education or any other complementary information.

See Annex II on STI.

CONS-O-6

Number of partnership agreements established within the framework of the Convention between developed country Parties/United Nations and IGOs and affected country Parties

Global target

Active UNCCD-related partnership agreements in each affected country Party

≥ 2

2014

National contribution to the global target: number of partnership agreements with affected country Parties under implementation during the reporting period

Year	Number of partnership agreements
2008	
2009	
2010	
2011	
2012	0
2013	0
2014	
2015	
2016	
2017	
2018	

Qualitative assessment

List the partnership agreement(s) concluded by your country in the reporting period and the beneficiary affected country(ies) and/or subregion(s) and region(s) (add as many rows as necessary):

Partnership Agreement:

--

Beneficiary:

Category	Location

Is your country planning one or more partnership agreement(s) with one or more affected country Party(ies)?

Yes

No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., support received by Convention-related institutions, major difficulties experienced in the conclusion of partnership agreements, relation to integrated investment frameworks, etc.)

--

Sources of information

Sweden currently does not have specific bilateral partnership agreements related to UNCCD activities.

CONS-O-7

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels

Global target

Each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio conventions

2014

National contribution to the global target

Year	Number of synergistic planning/programming	Number of mechanisms for joint implementation
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	0	0
2013	0	0
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Qualitative assessment

List the initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation that have received the technical and/or financial support of your country during the reporting period, and the beneficiary affected country(ies) and/or subregion(s) and region(s) (add as many rows as necessary):

Initiative or Mechanism:

--

Beneficiary:

Category	Location

Is your country planning to provide support to one or more affected country Party(ies) and/or subregion(s)/region(s) for the implementation of synergistic instruments?

Yes

No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., description of synergistic instruments implemented by your country, support received by Convention-related institutions, major difficulties experienced in the establishment of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, etc.)

Since autumn 2013, Sweden has initiated a new Section for Environment and Climate Change within the Development Cooperation Department of the MFA i.a. with the objective to safeguard synergies in development assistance to activities related to the three Rio Conventions and other International Environmental Conventions and Funds. In addition, the Ministries for Agriculture, Environment and Foreign Affairs has a close cooperation in this regard in order to ensure synergies. Sweden has however not carried out any specific initiatives in development countries in this regard during 2012-2013.

A synergetic approach to the issues covered by the Rio Conventions has been reflected in our main policy documents related to development assistance: Politik för Global Utveckling and the new Aid Policy Framework (Biståndspolitik Plattform) which will apply for the next reporting period.

Sources of information

Aid Policy Framework <http://www.regeringen.se/sb/d/108/a/242899>



CONS-0-8

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD

≥ 60 %

2018

National contribution to the global target: number of monitoring systems established in affected country Parties and/or subregions/regions with the technical and/or financial support of your country

Year	Monitoring systems specifically dedicated to DLDD	Monitoring systems partially covering DLDD
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	0	1
2013	0	1
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

ITP in West Africa, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (see Annex from Sida)

Please answer the following question only if your country has supported one or more monitoring system(s)

Qualitative assessment

List the monitoring system(s) technically and/or financially supported by your country during the reporting period and the beneficiary affected country(ies) and/or subregion(s) and region(s) (add as many rows as necessary):

Monitoring system:

International Training Programme in West Africa, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Subregion	Western Africa

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., major difficulties experienced, etc.)

--

Knowledge-sharing systems

List any DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing system technically and/or financially supported by your country during the reporting period, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year (add as many rows as necessary).

Name of the system:

Internet link:

Estimated number of users per year:

CONS-O-13

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of the National Capacity Self-Assessment (NCSA) or other methodologies and instruments

Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects

≥ 90 %

National contribution to the global target

Is your country supporting DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of the NCSA?

Yes

No

Is your country supporting DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of other methodologies and instruments to assess national capacity-building needs?

Yes

No

Sources of information

Sida is supporting the European Capacity Building Initiative (ECBI), see Annex from Sida.

Please answer the following question only if your country has supported the implementation of DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects

Qualitative assessment

List the DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects technically and/or financially supported by your country during the reporting period and the beneficiary affected country(ies) and/or subregion(s) and region(s) (add as many rows as necessary):

Capacity-building plans or programmes/projects:

European Capacity Building Initiative (ECBI)
--

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Region	Africa
Region	Asia

Is your country planning to provide support to one or more affected country Party(ies) and/or subregion(s)/region(s) for the implementation of DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects?

Yes

No

Provide any relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives implemented, or other complementary information as needed (e.g., plans for future support, major difficulties experienced, etc.)

--

CONS-O-14

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the integrated financing strategy (IFS) devised by the Global Mechanism (GM) or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources to combat DLDD

Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have developed integrated investment frameworks (IIFs)

≥ 50 %

2014

National contribution to the global target

Has your country supported the development of one or more integrated investment frameworks?

Yes

No

Sources of information

In total, Sweden has contributed with 794 MSEK for 2012 and 717 MSEK for 2013.

See Annex from Sida for details.

Please answer the following questions only if your country has supported the development of one or more IIF(s)

Qualitative assessment

List the integrated investment frameworks technically and/or financially supported by your country during the reporting period and the beneficiary affected country(ies) and/or subregion(s) and region(s) (add as many rows as necessary):

Integrated investment frameworks:

CGIAR

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Global	

Integrated investment frameworks:

Water Reservoir Programmes in Burkina Faso

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Country	Burkina Faso

Integrated investment frameworks:

ITP in West Africa, the Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI)

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Subregion	Western Africa

Operational objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Integrated investment frameworks:

European Capacity Building Initiative (ECBI)

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Region	Africa
Region	Asia

Integrated investment frameworks:

Decentralised Forest Management Programme, Mali

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Country	Mali

Integrated investment frameworks:

Somalia Resilience Program (SomRep)

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Country	Somalia

Integrated investment frameworks:

International Institute for Environment and Development, IIED

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Region	Africa

Integrated investment frameworks:

Other Sida support (see Annex from Sida for more information)

Beneficiary:

Category	Location
Country	Burkina Faso
Country	Ethiopia
Country	Kenya
Country	Mali
Subregion	Western Africa

Is your country planning to provide support to one or more affected country Party(ies) and/or subregion(s)/region(s) for the implementation of DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects?

Yes No

Operational objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Provide any relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives implemented, or other complementary information as needed (e.g., plans for future support, major difficulties experienced, etc.)

See Annex from Sida for more information

Identification

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

Name of the activity
funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative

Reporting process-related issues

Financial resources

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations? Yes No

Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the current UNCCD reporting process

Year	Currency	Amount
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012		
2013		
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Human resources

Provide an estimate of the people involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process, and of the total number of months dedicated by these persons to the reporting process

Year	Number of people	Number of months
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	2	2
2013	2	2
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Knowledge

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations? Yes No

Coordination

Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to report comprehensively and coherently? Yes No

Accommodation of specific requests within decisions taken by the Conference of the Parties

Reporting on specific COP requests: iterative process on indicators

Tick the boxes only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one or more performance indicator(s). When doing so, place the tick mark under the e-SMART criterion for which the difficulty occurred.

	Economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-0-1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-8	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-13	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-14	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Any other country-specific issues

Does your country have any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties? Yes No

If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified

- Policy, legislative and/or institutional framework
- Capacity-building and awareness-raising
- Desertification/land degradation and drought and sustainable land management monitoring and assessment/research
- Funding/resource mobilization
- Knowledge management and decision-making support
- Participation, collaboration and networking
- Reporting and review process
- Other

Please describe below:

Regarding CONS-0-1; Sweden does not consider Governments to be the appropriate stakeholder to monitor media products on DLDD from the major media stakeholders.

Sweden further takes note that the scope of time for reporting was limited and the final reporting template was available at a late stage, making it a challenge to report in a timely manner. A longer reporting timeline would facilitate planning of work. We would therefore prefer to have at least six months for the full reporting cycle from the date of publication of the final templates to final submission of the report.

Submission form

Name of the reporting officer	Anders Arvidsson
Date of completion	12/08/2014
Please enter your email address to authorize this submission	anders.arvidsson@gov.se
Name of the authorizing officer	Anders Wallberg
Date of authorization	28/08/2014
Please enter your email address to authorize this submission	anders.wallberg@gov.se