

United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System
Fifth reporting cycle, 2014-2015 leg

Report from St. Kitts and Nevis as affected country Party



July 30, 2014

Contents

I. Performance indicators

A. Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Indicator CONS-0-1

Indicator CONS-0-3

Indicator CONS-0-4

B. Operational objective 2: Policy framework

Indicator CONS-0-5

Indicator CONS-0-7

C. Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Indicator CONS-0-8

Indicator CONS-0-10

D. Operational objective 4: Capacity-building

Indicator CONS-0-13

E. Operational objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Indicator CONS-0-14

Indicator CONS-0-16

Indicator CONS-0-18

II. Financial flows

Unified Financial Annex

III. Additional information

IV. Submission

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of desertification, land degradation and drought (DLDD) and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies

Global target

Percentage of population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

30 %

2018

National contribution to the global target

Percentage of national population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

20

2011

2013

2015

2017

2019

Voluntary national target

Percentage of national population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

20 %

Year

2018

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Information events

| Year | Number of events | Total estimated participants |
|------|------------------|------------------------------|
| 2008 | | |
| 2009 | | |
| 2010 | | |
| 2011 | | |
| 2012 | 2 | 60 |
| 2013 | 2 | 80 |
| 2014 | | |
| 2015 | | |
| 2016 | | |
| 2017 | | |
| 2018 | | |

Mass media articles and radio/television programmes about these events

| Year | Number | Estimated people reached |
|------|--------|--------------------------|
| 2012 | 2 | 300 |
| 2013 | 2 | 300 |
| 2014 | | |
| 2015 | | |
| 2016 | | |
| 2017 | | |
| 2018 | | |

Sources of information

News Articles, Pamphlets and T-shirts

Qualitative assessment

Does your country have a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity in place?

Yes

No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., regarding the implementation of the Comprehensive Communication Strategy, activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification, etc.):

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-3

Number of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) participating in the Convention processes

Global target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

National contribution to global target: number of CSOs and STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects

| Year | Number of civil society organizations | Number of science and technology institutions |
|------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 2008 | | |
| 2009 | | |
| 2010 | | |
| 2011 | | |
| 2012 | 2 | 2 |
| 2013 | 2 | 2 |
| 2014 | | |
| 2015 | | |
| 2016 | | |
| 2017 | | |
| 2018 | | |

Sources of information: name of the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs

| |
|--|
| St. Kitts National Trust |
| Nevis Conservation and Historical Society |
| Multipurpose Laboratory, Ministry of Agriculture |
| Clarence Fitzroy Bryant College |
| |
| |

Voluntary national target

Percent increase in the number of CSOs and STIs participating in the Convention process at national level, per biennium %

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Qualitative assessment

Is your country undertaking initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects? Yes No

Provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to promote participation by CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes.

| |
|--|
| The organisations mention above have been involved in workshops undertaken in the Sustainable Land Management Project undertaken by the Ministry of Sustainable Development. |
|--|

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-4

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education

Global target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy

National contribution to the global target: number of DLDD-related initiatives implemented by CSOs and STIs in the field of education

| Year | Number of CSOs initiatives | Number of STIs initiatives |
|------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2008 | | |
| 2009 | | |
| 2010 | | |
| 2011 | | |
| 2012 | 2 | 1 |
| 2013 | 2 | 1 |
| 2014 | | |
| 2015 | | |
| 2016 | | |
| 2017 | | |
| 2018 | | |

Sources of information

Voluntary national target

Percent increase in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs, per biennium

%

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Is your country undertaking initiatives to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector implemented by CSOs and STIs?

Yes

No

Provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education.

Activities involving farming groups in local communities in Sustainable Land Management Practices under the Sustainable Land Management Project implemented by the Ministry of Sustainable Development.

CONS-0-5 Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of national, subregional and regional action programmes (NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs) aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks

Global target Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities that have formulated/ revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy **≥ 80 %** **2018**

National contribution to the global target

Does your country have a NAP aligned to the Strategy? Yes No

Qualitative assessment

Is your NAP being implemented? Yes No

Please answer the following two questions only if your country has not aligned the NAP to the Strategy

Voluntary national target

When do you plan to align your NAP to the Strategy? 2014-2015
2016-2017
2018-2019
No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., national circumstances affecting the NAP alignment and implementation process)

Lack of capacity at the implementing agency.

Sources of information

CONS-O-7 Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels

Global target Each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio conventions **2014**

National contribution to the global target

Was your country implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio conventions in the current reporting period? Yes
 Yes, but for only two of the Rio conventions
 No

Were there any operational mechanisms that facilitated joint implementation of the three Rio Conventions during the current reporting period? Yes
 Yes, but for only two of the Rio conventions
 No

Please answer the following questions if your country does not have such initiatives or mechanisms in place

Voluntary national target

Does your country plan to implement joint planning/programming and when? 2014-2015
 2016-2017
 2018-2019
 No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Voluntary national target

Does your country plan to establish operational mechanisms for joint implementation and when? 2014-2015
 2016-2017
 2018-2019
 No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Sources of information

CONS-0-8 Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

| | | | |
|----------------------|--|--------|------|
| Global target | Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD | ≥ 60 % | 2018 |
|----------------------|--|--------|------|

National contribution to the global target Is a monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD established in your country? Yes No

Please answer the following questions if your country has not established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD by the end of the current reporting period

Voluntary national target When does your country plan to establish and support a national monitoring system for DLDD?

| | |
|-------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2014-2015 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2016-2017 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2018-2019 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| No Plan Yet | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Sources of information

Qualitative assessment

Does your country have a monitoring system partially covering DLDD? Yes No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., major difficulties experienced, how the system is being supported, etc.):

Knowledge-sharing systems

List any DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing system in your country, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year (add as many rows as necessary).

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Name of the system: | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; background-color: #ffffcc;"></div> |
| Internet link: | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; background-color: #ffffcc;"></div> |
| Estimated number of users per year: | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; background-color: #ffffcc;"></div> |

Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

| | | | |
|------------------|--|--------|------|
| CONS-0-10 | Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity | | |
| Global target | Percentage of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs that have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment. | ≥ 70 % | 2018 |

CONS-O-13

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of the National Capacity Self-Assessment (NCSA) or other methodologies and instruments

Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects

≥ 90 %

National contribution to the global target

Is your country implementing DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of the NCSA?

Yes

No

Is your country implementing DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of other methodologies and instruments to assess national capacity-building needs?

Yes

No

Provide any relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives implemented, or other complementary information as needed

Sources of information

Voluntary national target

If at the time of reporting there are no DLDD-specific capacity-building plans, programmes or projects implemented in your country, when does your country plan to have them in place?

2014-2015

2016-2017

2018-2019

No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity-building needs?

Yes

No

Did you country receive technical and/or financial assistance to build capacities to combat DLDD?

Yes

No

CONS-O-14

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the integrated financing strategy (IFS) devised by the Global Mechanism (GM) or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources to combat DLDD

Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have developed integrated investment frameworks (IIFs)

≥ 50 %

2014

National contribution to the global target

Does your country have an integrated investment framework?

Yes

No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g. is the IIF based on the NAP; non-traditional and innovative financing targeted by the IIF; overview of the progress in implementing the IIF in your country; assistance received in the development or implementation of the IIF from the GM or other development partners, etc.)

Sources of information

Please answer the following questions only if your country has not developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period

Voluntary national target

When does your country plan to establish an IIF?

2014-2015

2016-2017

2018-2019

No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

CONS-O-16

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD

Rating of the bilateral assistance received for the implementation of the Convention and its Strategy during the reporting period

| | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Adequacy of bilateral assistance received | Adequate | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Fairly adequate | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Not adequate | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <hr/> | | |
| Timeliness of bilateral assistance received | Timely | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Fairly timely | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Not timely | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <hr/> | | |
| Predictability of bilateral assistance received | Predictable | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Fairly predictable | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Not predictable | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Provide any complementary information (e.g., additional information on other aspects beyond those mentioned above which impact proper planning and effective implementation of the Convention in your country, etc.)

Qualitative assessment

Did your country receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors? Yes No

CONS-O-18

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties

Global target

A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of the Strategy

A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of the Strategy

National contribution to the target: estimated amount of financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology

| Year | Currency | Amount |
|------|----------|--------|
| 2008 | | |
| 2009 | | |
| 2010 | | |
| 2011 | | |
| 2012 | | |
| 2013 | | |
| 2014 | | |
| 2015 | | |
| 2016 | | |
| 2017 | | |
| 2018 | | |

Has your country established economic and policy incentives intended to facilitate access to technology? Yes No

Sources of information

Voluntary national target

Percentage increase of financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by a given year % Year

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., a short overview of specific aspects and the nature of technology transfer in your country, aspects in which there is a need to increase the level of technology transfer, etc.)

Identification

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

Name of the activity
funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative

Reporting process-related issues

Financial resources

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes No

Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the current UNCCD reporting process

| Year | Currency | Amount |
|------|----------|--------|
| 2008 | | |
| 2009 | | |
| 2010 | | |
| 2011 | | |
| 2012 | | |
| 2013 | | |
| 2014 | | |
| 2015 | | |
| 2016 | | |
| 2017 | | |
| 2018 | | |

Human resources

Provide an estimate of the people involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process, and of the total number of months dedicated by these persons to the reporting process

| Year | Number of people | Number of months |
|------|------------------|------------------|
| 2008 | | |
| 2009 | | |
| 2010 | | |
| 2011 | | |
| 2012 | 2 | 8 |
| 2013 | 2 | 8 |
| 2014 | | |
| 2015 | | |
| 2016 | | |
| 2017 | | |
| 2018 | | |

Knowledge

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes No

If no, describe the main reasons and the difficulties encountered

Lack of access to this type of assistance and lack of human capacity

Coordination

Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to report comprehensively and coherently?

Yes No

Participation and consultation

Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?

Yes No

Validation

Was a validation meeting held as a part of the reporting process?

Yes No

Subregional and regional processes

Did your country cooperate with the entities entrusted with preparing the subregional and regional action programme reports?

Yes No

Accommodation of specific requests within decisions taken by the Conference of the Parties

Reporting on specific COP requests: iterative process on indicators

Tick the boxes only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one or more performance indicator(s). When doing so, place the tick mark under the e-SMART criterion for which the difficulty occurred.

| | Economic | Specific | Measurable | Achievable | Relevant | Time-bound |
|-----------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| CONS-0-1 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-3 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-4 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-5 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-7 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-8 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-10 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-13 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-14 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-16 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| CONS-0-18 | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Any other country-specific issues

Does your country have any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties? Yes No

If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified

- Policy, legislative and/or institutional framework
- Capacity-building and awareness-raising
- Desertification/land degradation and drought and sustainable land management monitoring and assessment/research
- Funding/resource mobilization
- Knowledge management and decision-making support
- Participation, collaboration and networking
- Reporting and review process
- Other

Please describe below:

As a Small Island Developing State with limited financial and human resources, my country is very much challenged in meeting its obligations to the convention

Submission form

| | |
|--|-------------------------|
| Name of the reporting officer | Randolph Edmead |
| Date of completion | 30/07/2014 |
| Please enter your email address to authorize this submission | phyplskb@sisterisles.kn |
| Name of the authorizing officer | Randolph Edmead |
| Date of authorization | 30/07/2014 |
| Please enter your email address to authorize this submission | phyplskb@sisterisles.kn |