

United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System
Fifth reporting cycle, 2014-2015 leg

Report from KIRIBATI as affected country Party



July 24, 2014

Contents

I. Performance indicators

A. Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Indicator CONS-0-1

Indicator CONS-0-3

Indicator CONS-0-4

B. Operational objective 2: Policy framework

Indicator CONS-0-5

Indicator CONS-0-7

C. Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Indicator CONS-0-8

Indicator CONS-0-10

D. Operational objective 4: Capacity-building

Indicator CONS-0-13

E. Operational objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Indicator CONS-0-14

Indicator CONS-0-16

Indicator CONS-0-18

II. Financial flows

Unified Financial Annex

III. Additional information

IV. Submission

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of desertification, land degradation and drought (DLDD) and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies

Global target

Percentage of population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

30 %

2018

National contribution to the global target

Percentage of national population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

29

2011

2013

2015

2017

2019

Voluntary national target

Percentage of national population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

%

40

Year

2016

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Information events

Year	Number of events	Total estimated participants
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	25	3665
2013	91	5065
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Mass media articles and radio/television programmes about these events

Year	Number	Estimated people reached
2012	41	7024
2013	47	13432
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

Environment Division Calendar of official events and Press Release archives

Land Management Division

Mineral Development Division

Kiribati 2010 census of population and household

Curriculum Development and Resource Center, Ministry of Education

Water Engineering Unit (Ministry of Public Works) public consultation report (2013)

Agriculture Division progressive report (2012 & 2013)

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Qualitative
assessment

Does your country have a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity in place?

Yes No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., regarding the implementation of the Comprehensive Communication Strategy, activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification, etc.):

For estimated number of people reached through mass media, the number was based on the 2010 population census on households and the availability of radios.

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-0-3

Number of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) participating in the Convention processes

Global target

A steady growth in the participation of CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy.

National contribution to global target: number of CSOs and STIs involved in DLDD-related programmes/projects

Year	Number of civil society organizations	Number of science and technology institutions
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	1	1
2013	2	1
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information: name of the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs the CSOs and STIs

Foundation of the South Pacific People (FSP-K) (CSO)
Live and Learn Environmental Education (CSO)
University of the South Pacific - Kiribati Campus (STI)

Voluntary national target

Percent increase in the number of CSOs and STIs participating in the Convention process at national level, per biennium

%

60

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

--

Qualitative assessment

Is your country undertaking initiatives to increase the participation of CSOs and STIs in DLDD-related programmes and projects?

Yes

No

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to promote participation by CSOs and STIs in the Convention processes.

- Strengthen their participation and engagement in the convention national committees
- Engage them in national activities such as awareness raising, waste management initiatives and other activities related to DLDD
- Provide support to their own initiatives that are related to DLDD issues

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-0-4

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education

Global target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy

National contribution to the global target: number of DLDD-related initiatives implemented by CSOs and STIs in the field of education

Year	Number of CSOs initiatives	Number of STIs initiatives
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	1	1
2013	1	1
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

- Global Climate Change Alliance article
- Live and Learn Annual Report 2013

Voluntary national target

Percent increase in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs, per biennium %

35

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Is your country undertaking initiatives to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector implemented by CSOs and STIs? Yes No

Provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education.

- Strengthen their participation and engagement in the convention national committees
- Engage them in national activities such as awareness raising related to DLDD
- Provide support to their own initiatives that are related to DLDD issues
- Promote the inclusion of DLDD issues in school/education curriculum and activities

CONS-0-5 Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of national, subregional and regional action programmes (NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs) aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks

Global target Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities that have formulated/ revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy **≥ 80 %** **2018**

National contribution to the global target

Does your country have a NAP aligned to the Strategy? Yes No

Qualitative assessment

Is your NAP being implemented? Yes No

Please answer the following two questions only if your country has not aligned the NAP to the Strategy

Voluntary national target

When do you plan to align your NAP to the Strategy? 2014-2015
 2016-2017
 2018-2019
 No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., national circumstances affecting the NAP alignment and implementation process)

There is a need for support in terms of financial and capacity building/training for the NAP alignment and implementation.

Sources of information

Environment and Conservation Division

CONS-O-7 Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels

Global target Each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio conventions **2014**

National contribution to the global target

Was your country implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio conventions in the current reporting period?

Yes

Yes, but for only two of the Rio conventions

No

Were there any operational mechanisms that facilitated joint implementation of the three Rio Conventions during the current reporting period?

Yes

Yes, but for only two of the Rio conventions

No

Please answer the following questions if your country does not have such initiatives or mechanisms in place

Voluntary national target

Does your country plan to implement joint planning/programming and when?

2014-2015

2016-2017

2018-2019

No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Voluntary national target

Does your country plan to establish operational mechanisms for joint implementation and when?

2014-2015

2016-2017

2018-2019

No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Sources of information

Environment and Conservation Division

CONS-0-8 Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

Global target Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD **≥ 60 %** **2018**

National contribution to the global target Is a monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD established in your country? Yes No

Please answer the following questions if your country has not established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD by the end of the current reporting period

Voluntary national target When does your country plan to establish and support a national monitoring system for DLDD? 2014-2015 2016-2017 2018-2019 No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Sources of information

- Environment and Conservation Division
- Mineral Development Division
- MET Office

Qualitative assessment

Does your country have a monitoring system partially covering DLDD? Yes No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., major difficulties experienced, how the system is being supported, etc.):

- limited number of staff, technical capacity, and financial resources to undertake a routine/continuous monitoring

- limited financial resource to maintain monitoring equipments in the long term.

Knowledge-sharing systems

List any DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing system in your country, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year (add as many rows as necessary).

Name of the system:	N/A
Internet link:	N/A
Estimated number of users per year:	

Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

CONS-0-10

Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity

Global target

Percentage of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs that have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.

≥ 70 %

2018

CONS-0-13

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of the National Capacity Self-Assessment (NCSA) or other methodologies and instruments

Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects

≥ 90 %

National contribution to the global target

Is your country implementing DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of the NCSA?

Yes

No

Is your country implementing DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of other methodologies and instruments to assess national capacity-building needs?

Yes

No

Provide any relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives implemented, or other complementary information as needed

NCSA Basis:

Project title: Integrating environmental priorities into national policies and programmes
 Focal Area: Multi-focal areas
 Project cost and duration: USD500,000.00, 36 months
 Status: Upcoming
 Some of the project key outputs: (i) Improved and sustainable access and exchange of relevant environment data and information relevant to the Rio Conventions at national, regional and international levels (especially with MEAs to which Kiribati is a Party to), (ii) Streamlined processes for facilitating exchanging and using environment data/information system to strengthen policies, legislation, programmes and plans to meet and sustain Rio Convention commitments, (iii) An effective system to measure, report and verify progress to meeting and sustaining Rio Convention commitments, based on consistent and manageable indicators.

Other Instruments:

ADB Technical Assistance to Strengthen Safeguards Capacity in the Urban Sector in Kiribati

Sources of information

GEF Project Identification Form (PIF)

Environment and Conservation Division

ADB website

Qualitative assessment

Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity-building needs?

Yes

No

Did you country receive technical and/or financial assistance to build capacities to combat DLDD?

Yes

No

From which institutions and which type of assistance was provided?

Financial

Technical

UNCCD Secretariat

Global Mechanism

Global Environment Facility

Bilateral agencies

Other multilateral organizations

CONS-O-14

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the integrated financing strategy (IFS) devised by the Global Mechanism (GM) or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources to combat DLDD

Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have developed integrated investment frameworks (IIFs)

≥ 50 %

2014

National contribution to the global target

Does your country have an integrated investment framework?

Yes

No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g. is the IIF based on the NAP; non-traditional and innovative financing targeted by the IIF; overview of the progress in implementing the IIF in your country; assistance received in the development or implementation of the IIF from the GM or other development partners, etc.)

Sources of information

Environment and Conservation Division

National Action of Programme, 2007

Please answer the following questions only if your country has not developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period

Voluntary national target

When does your country plan to establish an IIF?

2014-2015

2016-2017

2018-2019

No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

CONS-O-16

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD

Rating of the bilateral assistance received for the implementation of the Convention and its Strategy during the reporting period

Adequacy of bilateral assistance received	Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Fairly adequate	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Not adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>
Timeliness of bilateral assistance received	Timely	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Fairly timely	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Not timely	<input type="checkbox"/>
Predictability of bilateral assistance received	Predictable	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Fairly predictable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Not predictable	<input type="checkbox"/>

Provide any complementary information (e.g., additional information on other aspects beyond those mentioned above which impact proper planning and effective implementation of the Convention in your country, etc.)

Most of the bilateral aids received were implemented through projects. When these projects end, the implementation stays with the government or CSO without further support (financial and technical service) from donors (developed country Parties). Without this continuous support from DCP, it could impact the effective implementation and the sustainability of the DLDD-related project in the long run.

Qualitative assessment

Did your country receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors? Yes No

If yes, from whom?

- New Zealand (NZAID), E.g Urban Development Programme including Waste Management
- Australia (AUSAID), E.g Improving MET Office facility including equipment
- European Union, E.g Environmentally Safe Aggregate for South Tarawa (ESAT) Project & Water and Sanitation (KIRIWATSAN) project
- German Federal Government (GIZ)/Secretariat of the Pacific Community (SPC)

CONS-0-18

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties

Global target

A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of the Strategy

A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of the Strategy

National contribution to the target: estimated amount of financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology

Year	Currency	Amount
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	AUD - Australian Dollar	0
2013	AUD - Australian Dollar	0
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Has your country established economic and policy incentives intended to facilitate access to technology? Yes No

Sources of information

Environment and Conservation Division

Voluntary national target

Percentage increase of financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by a given year % Year

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

--

Qualitative assessment

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., a short overview of specific aspects and the nature of technology transfer in your country, aspects in which there is a need to increase the level of technology transfer, etc.)

<p>Kiribati through the NAP alignment and reporting process project, will co-finance the development of an improved policy and institutional framework and financing mechanisms for NAP implementation. One of the key outputs of this improved policy will be a national communication and outreach strategy for NAP implementation to facilitate dissemination of information and technologies to stakeholders.</p>

Identification

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

--

Name of the activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative

Sustainable Land Management project

Basic data

Recipient country, subregion and region

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

Category	Location
Country	Kiribati

Status

Indicate the status of the activity at the time of completing this form

- Committed
- Proposal
- On-going
- Completed

Co-financing

Source	Currency	Amount
Kiribati Government	USD - US Dollar	424000
Kiribati development partners (bilateral)	USD - US Dollar	135000
Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Program (SPREP)	USD - US Dollar	88000

Type of funding

Indicate the instruments used to finance the activity

- Grant
- Loan (commercial)
- Loan (concessional)
- Budget authority
- Debt swap/relief
- Basket funding
- Sectoral support
- Sovereign wealth fund
- Payments for Environmental Services (PES)
- Results based financing
- Equity
- Venture capital
- Remittances
- Derivatives
- Blended value products
- Impact investments
- Microcredit
- Bonds
- Insurance
- Mortgages/guarantees
- Advance market commitments
- Other (please describe below):

Classification

Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity
(tick only one of the boxes below)

- | | | | | |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| UNCCD | | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> | 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| UNFCCC | 0 <input type="checkbox"/> | 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2 <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| CBD | 0 <input type="checkbox"/> | 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2 <input type="checkbox"/> | |

Relevant Activity Code (RAC)

Indicate all the RACs that may apply to the funded activity

Knowledge Management

- Research
- Information campaigns
- Advocacy/Mainstreaming
- Natural capital accounting
- Land degradation monitoring
- Reporting

Enabling Activities

- Capacity building
- Governance and institutions
- Policies, strategies and regulations
- Economic valuation of land use/change options
- Incentives and market-based mechanisms
- Resource mobilization
- Development assistance

Land Degradation Prevention

- Adaptation to climate change
- Natural resource conservation
- Enhancing ecosystem resilience
- Sustainable land and natural resource management
- Sustainable production
- Sustainable consumption

Restoration and recovery

- Afforestation/reforestation for carbon sequestration
- Ecosystem rehabilitation
- Infrastructure reconstruction/rehabilitation
- Land restoration/reclamation
- Emergency response
- Relocation/rescue/relief operations

Strategic and operational objectives targeted

Indicate which strategic and/or operational objective of the Strategy is addressed by the funded activity

- Strategic objective 1: living conditions of affected populations
- Strategic objective 2: condition of affected ecosystems
- Strategic objective 3: global benefits
- Strategic objective 4: resource mobilization and partnership building
- Operational objective 1: advocacy, awareness raising and education
- Operational objective 2: policy framework
- Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge
- Operational objective 4: capacity-building
- Operational objective 5: financing and technology transfer

Add new 'Unified financial annex' full section

Reporting process-related issues

Financial resources

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes No

Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the current UNCCD reporting process

Year	Currency	Amount
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	AUD - Australian Dollar	1093
2013	AUD - Australian Dollar	1093
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Human resources

Provide an estimate of the people involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process, and of the total number of months dedicated by these persons to the reporting process

Year	Number of people	Number of months
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	2	3
2013	2	3
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Knowledge

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes No

Coordination

Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to report comprehensively and coherently?

Yes No

Participation and consultation

Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?

Yes No

Validation

Was a validation meeting held as a part of the reporting process?

Yes No

Subregional and regional processes

Did your country cooperate with the entities entrusted with preparing the subregional and regional action programme reports?

Yes No

Accommodation of specific requests within decisions taken by the Conference of the Parties

Reporting on specific COP requests: iterative process on indicators

Tick the boxes only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one or more performance indicator(s). When doing so, place the tick mark under the e-SMART criterion for which the difficulty occurred.

	Economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-0-1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-8	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-10	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-13	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-14	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-16	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-18	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Any other country-specific issues

Does your country have any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?

Yes No

If yes, please specify under which of the following broad categories it can be classified

- Policy, legislative and/or institutional framework
- Capacity-building and awareness-raising
- Desertification/land degradation and drought and sustainable land management monitoring and assessment/research
- Funding/resource mobilization
- Knowledge management and decision-making support
- Participation, collaboration and networking
- Reporting and review process
- Other

Please describe below:

To address DLDD issues, for affected parties, availability of funding and resource mobilization is very crucial. In addition, building the capacity of relevant institutions is another crucial area to address DLDD issues.

Submission form

Name of the reporting officer	Timi Kaiekieki
Date of completion	24/07/2014
Please enter your email address to authorize this submission	timikb@gmail.com
Name of the authorizing officer	Timi Kaiekieki
Date of authorization	24/07/2014
Please enter your email address to authorize this submission	timikb@gmail.com