

United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification
Performance Review and Assessment of Implementation System
Fifth reporting cycle, 2014-2015 leg

Report from ISRAEL as affected country Party



July 30, 2014

Contents

I. Performance indicators

A. Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Indicator CONS-O-1

Indicator CONS-O-3

Indicator CONS-O-4

B. Operational objective 2: Policy framework

Indicator CONS-O-5

Indicator CONS-O-7

C. Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Indicator CONS-O-8

Indicator CONS-O-10

D. Operational objective 4: Capacity-building

Indicator CONS-O-13

E. Operational objective 5: Financing and technology transfer

Indicator CONS-O-14

Indicator CONS-O-16

Indicator CONS-O-18

II. Financial flows

Unified Financial Annex

III. Additional information

IV. Submission

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-1

Number and size of information events organized on the subject of desertification, land degradation and drought (DLDD) and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity, and audience reached by media addressing DLDD and DLDD synergies

Global target

Percentage of population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

30 %

2018

National contribution to the global target

Percentage of national population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

15

2011

2013

2015

2017

2019

Voluntary national target

Percentage of national population informed about DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity

%

Year

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

No national target

Information events

Year	Number of events	Total estimated participants
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	7	1400
2013	6	860
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Mass media articles and radio/television programmes about these events

Year	Number	Estimated people reached
2012	15	1500000
2013	1	100000
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

<http://www.ynet.co.il/>

<http://www.haaretz.co.il/>

<http://www.nrg.co.il/>

Prof. Alon Tal - Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research (BIDR), Ben Gurion university

Prof. Orna Reisman-Berman - Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research (BIDR), Ben Gurion university

Shulamit Kurzon van Gelder, Director, Evaluation Unit MASHAV, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Israel

David Brand - Chief forester, Head of the Forest Department at KKL Israel - Jewish National Fund (JNF)

Itzik Moshe, deputy director of southern district, at KKL Israel - Jewish National Fund (JNF)

Dr. Shimon Rachmilevitch - Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research (BIDR), Ben Gurion university

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

Qualitative assessment

Does your country have a national communication strategy addressing DLDD and/or DLDD synergies with climate change and biodiversity in place? Yes No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., regarding the implementation of the Comprehensive Communication Strategy, activities relating to the United Nations Decade for Deserts and the Fight Against Desertification, etc.):

Operational objective 1: Advocacy, awareness raising and education

CONS-O-4

Number and type of DLDD-related initiatives of civil society organizations (CSOs) and science and technology institutions (STIs) in the field of education

Global target

A steady growth in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs is recorded along the implementation period of The Strategy

National contribution to the global target: number of DLDD-related initiatives implemented by CSOs and STIs in the field of education

Year	Number of CSOs initiatives	Number of STIs initiatives
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	5	20
2013	5	23
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Sources of information

- Prof. Alon Tal - Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research (BIDR), Ben Gurion university
- Anat Levingrat, Director of agro-ecology and field crops department, training and occupational services, Ministry of Agriculture
- BIDR course list for 2012-2013 - <https://bgu4u.bgu.ac.il/html/annual/>
- Dr. Shimon Rachmilevitch - - Blaustein Institutes for Desert Research (BIDR), Ben Gurion university

Voluntary national target

Percent increase in the number of DLDD-related education initiatives undertaken by CSOs and STIs, per biennium

%

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

No national target.

Qualitative assessment

Is your country undertaking initiatives to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives in the education sector implemented by CSOs and STIs?

Yes No

Provide a short description of actions taken at the national level to increase the number of DLDD-related initiatives of CSOs and STIs in the field of education.

CONS-0-5 Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have finalized the formulation/revision of national, subregional and regional action programmes (NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs) aligned to The Strategy, taking into account biophysical and socio-economic information, national planning and policies, and integration into investment frameworks

Global target Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities that have formulated/ revised a NAP/SRAP/RAP aligned to The Strategy **≥ 80 %** **2018**

National contribution to the global target

Does your country have a NAP aligned to the Strategy? Yes No

Qualitative assessment

Is your NAP being implemented? Yes No

Please answer the following two questions only if your country has not aligned the NAP to the Strategy

Voluntary national target

When do you plan to align your NAP to the Strategy? 2014-2015
 2016-2017
 2018-2019
 No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., national circumstances affecting the NAP alignment and implementation process)

Sources of information

CONS-O-7

Number of initiatives for synergistic planning/programming of the three Rio conventions or mechanisms for joint implementation, at all levels

Global target

Each affected country Party has either one joint national plan in place or functional mechanism(s) to ensure synergies among the three Rio conventions

2014

National contribution to the global target

Was your country implementing joint planning/programming initiatives for the three Rio conventions in the current reporting period?

Yes

Yes, but for only two of the Rio conventions

No

Were there any operational mechanisms that facilitated joint implementation of the three Rio Conventions during the current reporting period?

Yes

Yes, but for only two of the Rio conventions

No

Please answer the following questions if your country does not have such initiatives or mechanisms in place

Voluntary national target

Does your country plan to implement joint planning/programming and when?

2014-2015

2016-2017

2018-2019

No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Voluntary national target

Does your country plan to establish operational mechanisms for joint implementation and when?

2014-2015

2016-2017

2018-2019

No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

No national target.

Sources of information

Ayelet Rozen- Head Division of Multilateral Environmental Agreements - Israeli Ministry of

Environmental Protection

Dr. Moshe Yanai - Israel Central Bureau of Statistics

CONS-O-8 Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities to have established and supported a national/subregional/regional monitoring system for DLDD

Global target	Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD	≥ 60 %	2018
----------------------	--	--------	------

National contribution to the global target Is a monitoring system specifically dedicated to DLDD established in your country? Yes No

Please answer the following questions if your country has not established and supported national monitoring systems for DLDD by the end of the current reporting period

Voluntary national target When does your country plan to establish and support a national monitoring system for DLDD?

2014-2015	<input type="checkbox"/>
2016-2017	<input type="checkbox"/>
2018-2019	<input type="checkbox"/>
No Plan Yet	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Sources of information

- Eli Argaman - Head, soil erosion research station, Israel ministry of agriculture
- David Brand - Chief forester, Head of the Forest Department at KKL Israel - Jewish National Fund (JNF)
- Itzik Moshe, deputy director of southern district, at KKL Israel - Jewish National Fund (JNF)

Qualitative assessment

Does your country have a monitoring system partially covering DLDD? Yes No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., major difficulties experienced, how the system is being supported, etc.):

There is no nation-wide national monitoring system of DLDD features in Israel. There are several academic and scientific studies that aim to locally monitor areas that are prone to DLDD.

These studies are based on long term monitoring (taking place for over 25 years) aiming to examine long term spatial and temporal changes in rain amounts, affect of drought on native plants and on soil runoff, affect of different land cover and land use on plants and soil erosion, and studying the success rate of planting trees and other anti desertification activities.

Potential national soil erosion risk map from 2006 – Based on land use and land cover data, data of soil types and field observation and measurement of soil erosion were collected and analyzed by the soil erosion research station, Israel ministry of agriculture. The product is a map with three degrees of soil erosion: no erosion risk, medium and severe soil erosion risks. Land cover and land use data and soil types' data are based on aerial photography and satellite imaging.

The data are only available to researchers, due to technical and manpower issues. There is an intention to make the data available on-line, even though there is no specific deadline for this objective.

Knowledge-sharing systems

List any DLDD-relevant knowledge-sharing system in your country, providing an Internet link and estimated number of users per year (add as many rows as necessary).

Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge

Name of the system:

Internet link:

Estimated number of users per year:

CONS-O-10

Number of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs reflecting knowledge of DLDD drivers and their interactions, and of the interaction of DLDD with climate change and biodiversity

Global target

Percentage of revised NAPs/SRAPs/RAPs that have successfully gone through a quality self-assessment.

≥ 70 %

2018

Please answer the following questions only if your country has aligned the NAP:

National contribution to the global target

Does your aligned NAP include a knowledge-based identification and analysis of biophysical and socio-economic drivers of DLDD, and of their interaction?

Yes

No

Does your aligned NAP include a knowledge-based identification and analysis of the interaction between DLDD and climate change and biodiversity?

Yes

No

Sources of information

Qualitative assessment

Does your aligned NAP assess the barriers to sustainable land management?

Yes

No

If your aligned NAP assesses the barriers to sustainable land management, does it include recommendations for removing these barriers?

Yes

No

Does your country have data and information available to report on the following progress indicators, currently included within the CBD and UNFCCC reporting processes:

- Trends in abundance and distribution of selected species:

Yes

No

- Trends in carbon stock above and below ground:

Yes

No

If your country has identified nationally/locally relevant biophysical and socio-economic indicators for monitoring the aligned NAP, please list such indicators below:

--

Provide any complementary information as needed:

--

CONS-O-13

Number of countries, subregional and regional reporting entities engaged in building capacity to combat DLDD on the basis of the National Capacity Self-Assessment (NCSA) or other methodologies and instruments

Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that implement DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects

≥ 90 %

National contribution to the global target

Is your country implementing DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of the NCSA?

Yes

No

Is your country implementing DLDD-specific capacity-building plans or programmes/projects on the basis of other methodologies and instruments to assess national capacity-building needs?

Yes

No

Provide any relevant information on the size, scope, effectiveness and status of the initiatives implemented, or other complementary information as needed

The Government of Israel does not have in its vocabulary the terms "desertification" and "land degradation". The government addresses "soil erosion" and "salinization" of soil and of water. As to drought - when the government declares a drought state, the farmers become eligible for government financial compensation. All agricultural technical issues are attended by government research stations spread all over the country. Thus, DLDD, NCSA and other jargons of the UNCCD are totally unfamiliar in Israel, hence we did not report on this indicator.

Sources of information

Shulamit Kurzon van Gelder, Director, Evaluation Unit MASHAV, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Israel

Ilan Fluss, Director, Policy Planning & External Relations Department, MASHAV, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Israel

Shuli Davidovich, Director, Department for international organizations, specialized agencies & global issues, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Israel

Hezi Keinan, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Israel

Voluntary national target

If at the time of reporting there are no DLDD-specific capacity-building plans, programmes or projects implemented in your country, when does your country plan to have them in place?

2014-2015

2016-2017

2018-2019

No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

Qualitative assessment

Has your country assessed DLDD-related capacity-building needs?

Yes

No

Did you country receive technical and/or financial assistance to build capacities to combat DLDD?

Yes

No

CONS-O-14

Number of affected country Parties, subregional and regional entities whose investment frameworks, established within the integrated financing strategy (IFS) devised by the Global Mechanism (GM) or within other IFSs, reflect leveraging national, bilateral and multilateral resources to combat DLDD

Global target

Percentage of affected country Parties, subregional and regional reporting entities that have developed integrated investment frameworks (IIFs)

≥ 50 %

2014

National contribution to the global target

Does your country have an integrated investment framework?

Yes

No

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g. is the IIF based on the NAP; non-traditional and innovative financing targeted by the IIF; overview of the progress in implementing the IIF in your country; assistance received in the development or implementation of the IIF from the GM or other development partners, etc.)

See explanation for CONS 14. In addition, agriculture is a livelihood and the farmers' income covers the expenses of cultivation. There are policies for irrigation water subsidies, and government quotas for the number of foreign workers allowed to be employed. The issue of land in Israel is not its "degradation" or its "desertification", but it is on competition in foreign markets, and on water allocation. The agricultural research institutions are governmental, and their budgets can not be regarded as "integrated investment framework".

Sources of information

- Shulamit Kurzon van Gelder, Director, Evaluation Unit MASHAV, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Israel
- Ilan Fluss, Director, Policy Planning & External Relations Department, MASHAV, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Israel
- Shuli Davidovich, Director, Department for international organizations, specialized agencies & global issues, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Israel
- Hezi Keinan, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Israel

Please answer the following questions only if your country has not developed an IIF by the end of the reporting period

Voluntary national target

When does your country plan to establish an IIF?

2014-2015

2016-2017

2018-2019

No Plan Yet

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

CONS-O-16

Degree of adequacy, timeliness and predictability of financial resources made available by developed country Parties to combat DLDD

Rating of the bilateral assistance received for the implementation of the Convention and its Strategy during the reporting period

Adequacy of bilateral assistance received	Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Fairly adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>
<hr/>		
Timeliness of bilateral assistance received	Timely	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Fairly timely	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not timely	<input type="checkbox"/>
<hr/>		
Predictability of bilateral assistance received	Predictable	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Fairly predictable	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Not predictable	<input type="checkbox"/>

Provide any complementary information (e.g., additional information on other aspects beyond those mentioned above which impact proper planning and effective implementation of the Convention in your country, etc.)

Qualitative assessment

Did your country receive assistance in raising resources from bilateral donors? Yes No

CONS-0-18

Amount of financial resources and type of incentives which have enabled access to technology by affected country Parties

Global target

A steady growth in the financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by affected country Parties is recorded along the implementation period of the Strategy

A steady growth in the number of economic and policy incentives reported upon is recorded along the implementation period of the Strategy

National contribution to the target: estimated amount of financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology

Year	Currency	Amount
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	ILS - New Israeli Sheqel	6274521
2013	ILS - New Israeli Sheqel	9802741
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Has your country established economic and policy incentives intended to facilitate access to technology?

Yes No

Sources of information

Anat Levingrat, Director of agro-ecology and field crops department, training and occupational services,
Ministry of agriculture
Uri Zuk-Bar Deputy Director General Research, Economy and Strategy Division, Ministry of Agriculture
Sivan Yancovich, Economy and Strategy Division, Ministry of Agriculture

Voluntary national target

Percentage increase of financial resources allocated to facilitate access to technology by a given year

%

Year

--	--

Which national target your country has established to measure progress in achieving the priorities established in the national action programme, if different from the target suggested above?

No national target.

Qualitative assessment

Provide any complementary information as needed (e.g., a short overview of specific aspects and the nature of technology transfer in your country, aspects in which there is a need to increase the level of technology transfer, etc.)

israel is an affected country but not a developing country, and hence it does not receive financial resources for acquiring technology. What we inserted is the expenses of our government, mostly in research and extension, on technology and agricultural new practices, instructing our farmers in their use.

Identification

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

33340301

Name of the activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative

Grants for soil upkeep and sustainability techniques for 2012

Basic data

Recipient country, subregion and region

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

Category	Location
Country	Israel

Status

Indicate the status of the activity at the time of completing this form

- Committed
- Proposal
- On-going
- Completed

Co-financing

Source	Currency	Amount
Ministry of Agriculture, Israel	ILS - New Israeli Sheqel	6124521

Type of funding

Indicate the instruments used to finance the activity

- Grant
- Loan (commercial)
- Loan (concessional)
- Budget authority
- Debt swap/relief
- Basket funding
- Sectoral support
- Sovereign wealth fund
- Payments for Environmental Services (PES)
- Results based financing
- Equity
- Venture capital
- Remittances
- Derivatives
- Blended value products
- Impact investments
- Microcredit
- Bonds
- Insurance
- Mortgages/guarantees
- Advance market commitments
- Other (please describe below):

Classification

Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity
(tick only one of the boxes below)

UNCCD		1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	3 <input type="checkbox"/>
UNFCCC	0 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	
CBD	0 <input type="checkbox"/>	1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 <input type="checkbox"/>	

Relevant Activity Code (RAC)

Indicate all the RACs that may apply to the funded activity

Knowledge Management

Research Information campaigns Advocacy/Mainstreaming Natural capital accounting Land degradation monitoring Reporting

Enabling Activities

Capacity building Governance and institutions Policies, strategies and regulations Economic valuation of land use/change options Incentives and market-based mechanisms Resource mobilization Development assistance

Land Degradation Prevention

Adaptation to climate change Natural resource conservation Enhancing ecosystem resilience Sustainable land and natural resource management Sustainable production Sustainable consumption

Restoration and recovery

Afforestation/reforestation for carbon sequestration Ecosystem rehabilitation Infrastructure reconstruction/rehabilitation Land restoration/reclamation Emergency response Relocation/rescue/relief operations

Strategic and operational objectives targeted

Indicate which strategic and/or operational objective of the Strategy is addressed by the funded activity

Strategic objective 1: living conditions of affected populations Strategic objective 2: condition of affected ecosystems Strategic objective 3: global benefits Strategic objective 4: resource mobilization and partnership building Operational objective 1: advocacy, awareness raising and education Operational objective 2: policy framework Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge Operational objective 4: capacity-building Operational objective 5: financing and technology transfer

Add new 'Unified financial annex' full section

Identification

Identification code

Enter the Identification Code (ID), number or acronym given to the activity funded (if known)

32500501

Name of the activity funded

Enter the name or title of the activity, project, programme, organization or initiative

Grants for soil upkeep and sustainability techniques for 2013

Basic data

Recipient country, subregion and region

Enter the name of the country(ies), subregion(s) or region(s) in which the activity is taking place or is due to take place. Indicate "global" if the activity is of global scale or has no specific geographical focus

Category	Location
Country	Israel

Status

Indicate the status of the activity at the time of completing this form

- Committed
- Proposal
- On-going
- Completed

Co-financing

Source	Currency	Amount
Ministry of Agriculture, Israel	ILS - New Israeli Sheqel	9630241

Type of funding

Indicate the instruments used to finance the activity

- Grant
- Loan (commercial)
- Loan (concessional)
- Budget authority
- Debt swap/relief
- Basket funding
- Sectoral support
- Sovereign wealth fund
- Payments for Environmental Services (PES)
- Results based financing
- Equity
- Venture capital
- Remittances
- Derivatives
- Blended value products
- Impact investments
- Microcredit
- Bonds
- Insurance
- Mortgages/guarantees
- Advance market commitments
- Other (please describe below):

Classification

Rio Markers

Assign the appropriate Rio Marker for desertification to the funded activity
(tick only one of the boxes below)

- | | | | | |
|--------|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| UNCCD | | 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2 <input type="checkbox"/> | 3 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| UNFCCC | 0 <input type="checkbox"/> | 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2 <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| CBD | 0 <input type="checkbox"/> | 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2 <input type="checkbox"/> | |

Relevant Activity Code (RAC)

Indicate all the RACs that may apply to the funded activity

Knowledge Management

Research Information campaigns Advocacy/Mainstreaming Natural capital accounting Land degradation monitoring Reporting

Enabling Activities

Capacity building Governance and institutions Policies, strategies and regulations Economic valuation of land use/change options Incentives and market-based mechanisms Resource mobilization Development assistance

Land Degradation Prevention

Adaptation to climate change Natural resource conservation Enhancing ecosystem resilience Sustainable land and natural resource management Sustainable production Sustainable consumption

Restoration and recovery

Afforestation/reforestation for carbon sequestration Ecosystem rehabilitation Infrastructure reconstruction/rehabilitation Land restoration/reclamation Emergency response Relocation/rescue/relief operations

Strategic and operational objectives targeted

Indicate which strategic and/or operational objective of the Strategy is addressed by the funded activity

Strategic objective 1: living conditions of affected populations Strategic objective 2: condition of affected ecosystems Strategic objective 3: global benefits Strategic objective 4: resource mobilization and partnership building Operational objective 1: advocacy, awareness raising and education Operational objective 2: policy framework Operational objective 3: Science, technology and knowledge Operational objective 4: capacity-building Operational objective 5: financing and technology transfer

Add new 'Unified financial annex' full section

Reporting process-related issues

Financial resources

Could your country count on sufficient financial resources to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes No

Provide an estimate of the amount invested from your country's national budget into the current UNCCD reporting process

Year	Currency	Amount
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	ILS - New Israeli Sheqel	3700
2013	ILS - New Israeli Sheqel	3700
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Human resources

Provide an estimate of the people involved in your country in the UNCCD reporting process, and of the total number of months dedicated by these persons to the reporting process

Year	Number of people	Number of months
2008		
2009		
2010		
2011		
2012	2	1
2013	2	2
2014		
2015		
2016		
2017		
2018		

Knowledge

Could your country count on sufficient technical and scientific knowledge to meet UNCCD reporting obligations?

Yes No

Coordination

Was coordination at the national level with the relevant line ministries satisfactory in order to report comprehensively and coherently?

Yes No

Participation and consultation

Was a participatory or consultative approach applied to involve all relevant stakeholders in the reporting process?

Yes No

Validation

Was a validation meeting held as a part of the reporting process?

Yes No

Subregional and regional processes

Did your country cooperate with the entities entrusted with preparing the subregional and regional action programme reports?

Yes No

Accommodation of specific requests within decisions taken by the Conference of the Parties

Reporting on specific COP requests: iterative process on indicators

Tick the boxes only if you have experienced difficulties in reporting on one or more performance indicator(s). When doing so, place the tick mark under the e-SMART criterion for which the difficulty occurred.

	Economic	Specific	Measurable	Achievable	Relevant	Time-bound
CONS-0-1	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-4	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-7	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-8	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-10	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-13	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-14	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-16	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
CONS-0-18	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Any other country-specific issues

Does your country have any specific issue to bring to the attention of the Conference of the Parties?

Yes No

Submission form

Name of the reporting officer	Assaf Chen
Date of completion	28/07/2014
Please enter your email address to authorize this submission	assafchen@gmail.com
Name of the authorizing officer	Uriel Safriel
Date of authorization	30/07/2014
Please enter your email address to authorize this submission	uriel36@gmail.com